

2018 Current Fiscal Year Report: National Park Service Subsistence Resource Commission-Cape Krusenstern National Monument

Report Run Date: 06/05/2019 05:51:01 PM

1. Department or Agency

Department of the Interior

2. Fiscal Year

2018

3b. GSA

Committee

No.

3. Committee or Subcommittee

National Park Service Subsistence Resource Commission-Cape
Krusenstern National Monument

553

4. Is this New During Fiscal Year?

No

5. Current Charter

08/08/2012

6. Expected Renewal Date

7. Expected Term Date

8a. Was Terminated During Fiscal Year?

No

8b. Specific Termination Authority

P.L. 96-487

8c. Actual Term Date

9. Agency Recommendation for Next Fiscal Year

Continue

10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?

Not Applicable

10b. Legislation Pending?

Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority Statutory (Congress Created)

12. Specific Establishment Authority

P.L. 96-487

13. Effective Date

12/02/1980

14. Committee Type

Continuing

14c. Presidential?

No

15. Description of Committee Non Scientific Program Advisory Board

16a. Total Number of Reports

No Reports for this
Fiscal Year

17a. Open 2 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 2 Meetings and Dates

Purpose

Develop and continue work on NPS subsistence program recommendations, and other related regulatory proposals.

Start

End

10/04/2017 - 10/05/2017

Develop and continue work on NPS subsistence program recommendations, and other related regulatory proposals.

05/08/2018 - 05/09/2018

Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 2

Current FY Next FY

18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members

\$0.00 \$0.00

18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members

\$0.00 \$0.00

18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff

\$98,750.00 \$110,000.00

18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants

\$0.00 \$0.00

18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members

\$4,300.00 \$4,000.00

18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$3,200.00	\$3,300.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$980.00	\$890.00
18d. Total	\$107,230.00	\$118,190.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	1.15	1.15

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

During FY 2018, the Cape Krusenstem National Monument Subsistence Resource Commission developed the following recommendations on Federal Subsistence Program 2018-20 Wildlife Regulatory proposals. The Commission met on October 4 - 5 and took the following actions: WP18-32: The Commission voted to oppose the proposal with the reasoning that they want the season dates to stay the way they are currently listed in regulations. WP18-41: The Commission voted to support the proposal. WP18-42: The Commission voted to take no action on WPIS-42 because of their support for WP18-41. WP18-43: The commission voted to support WPIS-43. On the subsistence use of bear, The Commission agreed that although the subsistence harvest of bear is unpopular, they wanted subsistence users to have every opportunity to harvest bears. The Commission expressed their support for aligning state and federal regulations. WP18-44: The Commission voted to take no action on WP18-44. In discussion, Alex Whiting expressed concern that the proposal would necessitate that the NPS administer the bear hunt because of conflicts with the state requirements. Hannah Loon also expressed that it was not traditional to sell hides. WP18-45: The Commission voted to oppose WP18-45. In their comments, the Commission approved of the 5 caribou a day bag limit that is currently in regulation and thought that it was fitting for the level of conservation for the WACH. WP18-46: The Commission voted to support OSM modification of WP18-46. Enoch Mitchell commented that the 2017-2018 closure had worked for Noatak to harvest their needed caribou, but Alex Whiting liked the OSM modification to include from the western boundary of Noatak National Preserve upstream to the confluence with the Cutler River; north of the Noatak River between, and including, the Kelly and Nimiuktuk River drainages. WP18-47: The Commission voted to take no action on WP18-47 for their support of WP18-46 addressed their concerns about closing federal lands for caribou hunting. WPIS-48: The Commission voted to support WP18-48. WPIS-49: The Commission voted to take no action on WPI8-49 because their support for WP 18-48 addressed their concerns about caribou harvest reporting. WPIS-57: The Commission voted to support WP18-57 because they were concerned that the traditional lands of the North Slope Inupiaq are accessible through the haul road. They support WP 18-57 as a show of solidarity with the North Slope RAC. The Commission is an advisory group. Federal law and regulation provide the opportunity for continued subsistence uses,

including hunting, within the Cape Krusenstern National Monument. The Subsistence Resource Commission for Cape Krusenstern National Monument is a forum that promotes effective communication and mutual understanding of subsistence uses and related cultural resources. The Commission consults with every appropriate local advisory committee and regional council for comment and input on draft hunting plan recommendations. In addition to the advisory committee and regional council consultation process directed by P.L. 96-847 (the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act), the Commission is required to hold public meetings in all areas affected by the subsistence hunting program. The charter allows the Commission to meet as often as circumstances require.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

Membership, as prescribed by the Commission's enabling legislation, consists of nine members as follows: (a) three members appointed by the Secretary of the Interior; (b) three members appointed by the Governor of Alaska; and (c) three members appointed by the regional council, as established by the Secretary or the State pursuant to section 805 of P.L. 96-487. The members include a range of individuals having personal knowledge of the region's subsistence conditions, and having an interest in Federal subsistence management. Members broadly represent geographic, cultural, and user diversity present within the region.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The charter allows the Commission to meet as often as circumstances require. The Commission generally meets twice a year. Commission recommendations are vitally important on matters relating to the taking of wildlife within the Monument, and are the foundation for the development and review of the subsistence hunting program. During FY 2018 the Commission conducted two public meetings.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The Subsistence Resource Commission for Cape Krusenstern National Monument has been established by Congress as an advisory group to devise and recommend to the Secretary of the Interior and the Governor of Alaska a program for subsistence hunting within Cape Krusenstern National Monument. The Commission's unique insight on local customary and traditional patterns of subsistence use within the Monument has been important in developing workable subsistence hunting plan recommendations. The Subsistence Resource Commission for Cape Krusenstern National Monument is a vital link between the National Park Service and the local rural residents who live within the designated National Park Service resident zone. The Commission's recommendations

help the NPS to ensure the continuation of the opportunity for local rural residents to engage in the subsistence use of resources in Cape Krusenstern National Monument. The NPS has adopted the following mission statement to guide its activities. Subsistence will be managed as a legislated use consistent with the provisions of ANILCA, the Organic Act of 1916, and NPS policy to:

- protect the opportunity for qualified local rural residents to continue traditional subsistence activities;
- recognize that subsistence ways of life differ from region to region and are continuing to evolve, and where appropriate, park management practices may reflect regional diversity and evolution;
- promote local involvement and participation in processes associated with subsistence management;
- ensure that management practices involving the utilization of public lands adequately consider the potential for restriction of subsistence uses and impacts upon subsistence resources;
- ensure that management of park resources is consistent with the conservation of unimpaired ecosystems and natural and healthy populations of fish and wildlife, incorporating scientific data and principles with traditional knowledge and cultural values; and
- promote effective communication and mutual understanding of subsistence uses and related cultural and social values, and park purposes and protection, between the NPS, subsistence users, the State of Alaska and the public.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

All meetings are open. Open meetings strengthen public confidence and provide opportunity for more public involvement and consensus among local rural residents and various user groups.

21. Remarks

The Commission conducted two public meetings during FY2018. Discussions topics included: Muskoxen Management Collaboration; Cape Krusenstern SRC reviewed proposed changes to the Federal subsistence wildlife hunting and trapping regulations for the 2018–2020 regulatory years (July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2020). These proposals seek changes to existing Federal subsistence regulations for the taking of wildlife on Federal public lands and waters in Alaska. . The FACA section 14(b) biennial rechartering requirement has been waived for this committee by P.L. 102-525, October 26, 1992. Re MEMBERSHIP--In accordance with the Council's Charter, members may continue to serve after the expiration of their terms until successors are appointed. (2) EXPECTED COMMITTEE TERMINATION DATE/TERMINATION AUTHORITY -- The Commission's enabling legislation, P.L. 96-487, provides for continuation of the Commission into the foreseeable future, without termination. (3) MEMBERSHIP -- In accordance with the Commission Charter and with P.L. 102-525, members may continue to serve after the expiration of their terms until successors are appointed.

Designated Federal Officer

Maija Lukin Superintendent, Cape Krusenstern National Monument

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Adams, Enoch	11/04/2017	11/04/2020	Subsistence Hunter	Representative Member
Booth, Thurston	11/01/2016	11/01/2019	Subsistence User	Representative Member
Harris, Cyrus	11/09/2016	11/09/2019	Subsistence User	Representative Member
Loon, Hannah	11/04/2015	11/04/2018	Subsistence User	Representative Member
Mitchell, Enoch	11/09/2016	11/09/2019	Subsistence User	Representative Member
Shiedt, Enoch (Attamuk)	11/04/2001	11/04/2020	Subsistence Hunter	Representative Member
Westlake, Larry	11/04/2014	11/04/2020	Subsistence Hunter	Representative Member
Whiting, Alex	11/04/2002	11/04/2020	Subsistence Hunter	Representative Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 8

Narrative Description

The Commission has a history of meeting in Kotzebue, Alaska, an Inupiat village in the Northwest Arctic Region. During FY 2018 meetings, Commission members participated in discussions, made and considered recommendations for the following subsistence issues: Education and outreach with local subsistence hunters to ensure compliance with federal regulations. hunting license, harvest reporting, and amount necessary for subsistence;. The Commission proposed a traditional knowledge study on the impacts of low-flying aircraft on caribou migration. The Commission requested a work session on the NPS compendium regulations process. Cyrus Harris recommends giving more muskox hunt opportunity to residents at Sisualik. The CAKR SRC would like to hear more about Maniilaq's program to support installation of electrical fences. - Closure of federal public lands to non subsistence moose and caribou hunting in Unit 23.- Brown bear seasons, limits and use of animal part for customary trade. - Conservative seasons and harvest limits for the Western Arctic caribou herd.-Unit 23 User conflicts between subsistence and non subsistence users.- NPS needs to continue efforts to improve the SRC program to ensure that the SRC is an effective organization. -The Commission agreed to continue work with the Superintendent to resolve user conflicts between subsistence users and commercial guide transporters. Subsistence users of GMU 23 have long had concerns about hunting traffic and aircraft operations during fall migration hunting. These concerns revolve around four major themes: noise, diverting animals from traditional migration routes, and camp locations that compete with subsistence users.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

Improvements to health or safety

☐

Trust in government

☒

Major policy changes

☒

Advance in scientific research

☐

Effective grant making	<input type="checkbox"/>
Improved service delivery	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Increased customer satisfaction	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Outcome Comments

The charter allows the Commission to meet as often as circumstances require. The Commission conducted two meetings during 2018.

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

None	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unable to Determine	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Under \$100,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$100,000 - \$500,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
Over \$10,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
Cost Savings Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Cost Savings Comments

Not Applicable

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

9

Number of Recommendations Comments

The Commission did not submit any new formal recommendations to the Secretary of the Interior during FY 2018; however the Commission submitted the following recommendations to the Federal Subsistence Board on wildlife proposals: WP18-32: The Commission voted to oppose the proposal with the reasoning that they want the season dates to stay the way they are currently listed in regulations. WP18-41: The Commission voted to support the proposal. WP18-42: The Commission voted to take no action on WPIS-42 because of their support for WP18-41. WP18-43: The commission voted to support WPIS-43. WP18-44: The Commission voted to take no action on WP18-44. In discussion, Alex Whiting expressed concern that the proposal would necessitate that the

NPS administer the bear hunt because of conflicts with the state requirements. Hannah Loon also expressed that it was not traditional to sell hides. WP18-45: The Commission voted to oppose WP18-45. In their comments, the Commission approved of the 5 caribou a day bag limit that is currently in regulation and thought that it was fitting for the level of conservation for the WACH. WP18-46: The Commission voted to support OSM modification of WP18-46. Enoch Mitchell commented that the 2017-2018 closure had worked for Noatak to harvest their needed caribou, but Alex Whiting liked the OSM modification to include from the western boundary of Noatak National Preserve upstream to the confluence with the Cutler River; north of the Noatak River between, and including, the Kelly and Nimiuktuk River drainages. WP18-47: The Commission voted to take no action on WP18-47 for their support of WP18-46 addressed their concerns about closing federal lands for caribou hunting. WPIS-48: The Commission voted to support WP18-48. WPIS-49: The Commission voted to take no action on WPI8-49 because their support for WP 18-48 addressed their concerns about caribou harvest reporting. WPIS-57: The Commission voted to support WP18-57 because they were concerned that the traditional lands of the North Slope Inupiaq are accessible through the haul road. They support WP 18-57 as a show of solidarity with the North Slope RAC. The Commission's Hunting Plan recommendations are listed here: Hunting Plan Recommendation Response from the Secretary of Interior Current Status August 1993: HPR 93-1(A) - Eligibility: (Resident Zone Boundary) Establish a single resident zone for both Cape Krusenstern National Monument and Kobuk Valley National Park that coincides with the political boundaries of the NANA Region. June 1994: Both Commissions postponed meetings while awaiting a formal response to their recommendations from the Secretary of the Interior. Sept. 1996: The Secretary responded to the Commission's recommendations, directing the National Park Service (NPS) to complete an environmental assessment (EA) and a Section 810 subsistence evaluation prior to deciding whether to modify the resident zone boundaries through the regulatory process (rulemaking). Oct. 1998: NPS prepared draft environmental assessment and Section 810. Both Commissions directed Superintendent to proceed with implementing NANA Region/resident zone boundary change recommendation. The NPS has developed a proposed implementation timeline. The Superintendent request SRC endorsement for following the timeline to complete EA and promulgate regulations designating all of NANA as one residency zone for CAKR and KOVA. June 2002: CAKR SRC passed motion directing the Superintendent to publish a proposed rule to implement NANA resident zone boundary request. April 2004: NPS published a proposed rule in the Federal Register. October 2004: Final rule to be signed and effective within the next few months, establishing the resident zone to coincide with the NANA Region political boundaries. February 2005: Final rule effective January 3, 2005, establishes the single resident zone boundary to coincide with the political boundaries of the NANA Region. August 1993 HRP 93-4- Education: Northwest Areas NPS personnel should receive

cross-cultural training. NPS should develop joint information publications for distribution in regional villages on public use of park resources, and NPS should expand education efforts. Sept. 1996: The Secretary stated that the NPS provides cross-cultural training and will continue to do so. Secretary directed the NPS to expand public education programs, as budget allows, for local residents. The NPS continues to increase local hire and place weight on rural Alaska experience. The NPS provides cross-cultural training to all NPS staff. NPS has hired a full time education specialist. We would appreciate SRC advice on what publications are needed. June 2002: SRC directed NPS to improve cultural relations by providing cultural training for all employees including researchers conducting studies and monitoring projects. April 2004: NPS remains committed to implementing the SRC recommendations. NPS continues to work closely with the school district, including curricula development by the NPS Education Specialist. NPS provides cross cultural training on a regular basis to all employees. October 2004: Continuing education program efforts and cross cultural training. NPS is open to ideas for joint publications or educational projects. February 2005: NPS continues efforts to implement this recommendation by working closely with the school district and conducting outreach activities and educational programs. NPS would appreciate any input on potential joint publications. The National Park Service agree to conduct Cross cultural training for new employees.

Hunting Plan Recommendation Response from the Secretary of Interior

Current Status August 1993 HPR 93-1(B) – Eligibility (ANILCA Section 804): If it becomes necessary to restrict subsistence harvest of fish and wildlife, subsistence eligibility should be limited to persons (including members of their immediate families, and their direct descendants) who had their primary place of residency within the NANA Region on Dec. 2, 1980. Sept. 1996: The Secretary responded to the Commission's recommendations stating that ANILCA, Section 804 provides guidance for allocation of subsistence fish and wildlife resources base on 3 factors:

- Customary and direct dependence upon the populations as the mainstay of livelihood
- Local residency
- Availability of alternative resources.

• The Secretary directed the Federal Subsistence Board to consider the Commission's recommendation. June 2002 SRC reaffirmed support for this recommendation and directed NPS to continue to monitor State Tier II hunts. March 2004 - NPS staff continue to monitor fish and wildlife population and the regulatory actions of the State Board of Game and Federal Subsistence Board to protect harvest opportunities and to ensure natural and healthy populations on parklands. October 2004: Ongoing monitoring of fish and wildlife populations, and the BOG regulatory actions to protect harvest opportunities. February 2005: NPS continues to monitor wildlife and fish populations, and the BOG proposals and actions to protect harvest opportunities. August 1993 HPR 93-2-Access / Aircraft: NPS should study the impacts of aircraft over-flights on subsistence activities and consider restrictions to mitigate any impacts. The Commissions expressed concern for possible aircraft- subsistence user conflicts during the fall caribou

hunt. Sept. 1996: The Secretary responded to the Commission's recommendations stating : The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) has the authority to regulate airspace. The NPS generally regulates aircraft landings within NPS units. The NPS should work with the Commissions to identify and resolve any aircraft – subsistence user conflicts. June 2002 – NPS requested to: 1) Consult with SRCs and publish a notice to pilots to protect subsistence use area from aircraft over-flights during the hunting season 2) Revise aircraft maps to protect subsistence areas. March 2004 - NPS continues to consult with FAA and ADF&G to limit aircraft and subsistence use conflicts. The NPS has limited authority over overflights. NPS will continue to look for funding opportunities to evaluate effects of overflights on subsistence and consult with SRC to design appropriate over-flight studies. Public meetings for the commercial services plan will allow for input on aircraft use and conflict issues. October 2004: NPS has started gathering information for commercial services planning, with an initial focus on aircraft transporters. Student researcher completed 27 interviews this summer for comments on transporter issue. NPS will proceed with formal public meetings in villages, and obtain input on aircraft use and conflict issues. SRC/NPS working group for Protection activities will allow for input and recommendations from the SRC on ongoing issues. February 2005: NPS conducting initial information gathering regarding conflict issues. Student researcher has completed draft report on summer 2004 research. SRC/NPS Protection working group ongoing for discussion and recommendations. NPS commercial services planning. Hunting Plan Recommendation Response from the Secretary of Interior Current Status August 1993 HPR 93-2-Access/Air Propelled Boats: NPS should prohibit the use of airboats within the Park and Monument during subsistence hunting season. Sept. 1996: The Secretary responded stating that airboats are generally prohibited by NPS regulations. Operating a vessel exceeding a noise level of 82 decibels measured at a distance of 82 feet from the vessel is prohibited. June 2002: SRC requested the NPS to prohibit airboat use in park areas. NPS regulations prohibit hovercraft use in park areas. April 2004, NPS and DOI Solicitor will continue to monitor airboat use within the region. October 2004: Airboat use by commercial operators is prohibited in park areas under Incidental Business Permit restrictions. Regarding private use of airboats:---“airboats” are prohibited under 36CFR.---“motorboats” are allowed under 43CF.---The potential conflict in these regulations is undergoing further discussion and solicitor review. February 2005: NPS Region is preparing to start on Phase II of regulation changes. It is unknown at this time if “airboats” will be looked at during this go-round. SRC is encouraged to pursue if this issue is still felt to be critical. August 1993 HPR 93-2-Access/All Terrain Vehicles (ATV): NPS should allow the traditional use of ATV's in the Park and Monument for the purposes of accessing in holdings and for subsistence uses. Commissions agree to work with the NPS in identifying areas of traditional ATV use. Sept. 1996: The Secretary responded to the Commission's recommendations indicating :the Superintendent is authorized to provide

adequate and feasible access to inholdings under guidelines within ANILCA Section 1110 (b). ATV use for subsistence purposes is limited to those areas where they have been traditionally employed for subsistence purposes. In such cases ATV use may only occur on designated trails where it has been determined that their use will not adversely affect the natural, aesthetic or scenic values of the NPS lands. To date use of ATV's in the Park and Monument have not been determined to be a traditional means of access. In 1999, the NPS advised the SRC chairs that determinations on ATV use must be made on an individual park basis in accordance with Title VIII of ANILCA and other applicable laws and regulations. The NPS recognizes the ATV route along the beach of Krusenstern below mean high water. Access from the shoreline route to an inholding via the most direct route is authorized. June 2002: Directed NPS to designate the Dog Sled Trail along the CAKR coast around Rabbit Creek as a designated trail. April 2004: SRC requested that the NPS take no action to designate the Dog Sled Trail. October 2004: Status quo, ATV use for subsistence purposes is limited to those areas where they have been traditionally used for subsistence purposes. February 2005: Status quo, ATV use for subsistence purposes is limited to those areas where they have been traditionally used for subsistence purposes. Hunting Plan Recommendation Response from the Secretary of Interior Current Status August 1993 HPR 93-3- Areas of Traditional Use: The entire park and Monument should be classified as traditional use areas open to subsistence uses. Sept. 1996: The Secretary's response stated that ANILCA did not limit subsistence uses in the Park and Monument to traditional use areas. Congress intended that the entire Park and Monument to be open to subsistence uses unless closures become necessary for reasons of public safety, administration, or to assure the continued viability of a particular fish or wildlife population, ANILCA Section 816. All of Cape Krusenstern and Kobuk Valley are available for subsistence activities. June 2002 and April 2004: SRC continues to support recommendation. October 2004: Status quo, all of CAKR and KOVA are available for subsistence activities. February 2005: Status quo, all of CAKR and KOVA are available for subsistence activities. August 1993 HPR 93-5 – Enforcement: NPS should hire local residents who are knowledgeable on Inupiaq culture and NPS regulations. NPS should establish co-management agreements with traditional councils and tribal organizations. Sept. 1996: The Secretary stated that the NPS cannot contract out the Federal functions of law enforcement and visitor safety. The Resource Apprenticeship Program for students and the Cooperative Education Program are designed to promote local hire. The Department Of Interior supports the use of cooperative agreements as authorized in ANILCA Section 809. June 2002: WEAR is a pilot program for local hire under PL 106-488. Maniilaq sponsored a meeting of tribal governments in Kotzebue in August 2002 to address local hire issues. The NPS continues to support the Western Arctic Caribou Herd management plan. April 2004: NPS seeks to improve relations by establishing partnerships with local organizations. Pete Schaeffer, Martha Whiting, and Victor Karmun

SRC agreed to assist NPS improve resource protection and community relations. October 2004: NPS utilizes Local Hire authority to recruit local residents for all positions, as well as student hire. LE positions require completion of training at the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center in Georgia. The distant extended training period and relatively low salary have been cited by local residents as deterrents to interest in these positions, as well as other factors. Ongoing efforts for partnerships. February 2005: NPS continues to support and use Local Hire authority. Extended efforts for recruitment and outreach ongoing to encourage interest in NPS jobs. Opportunities are announced for grants and joint projects when available. August 1993 HPR 86-6- Research and Information Needs: NPS should explore use of cooperative agreements to accomplish research in the region and consult the Commissions on research design. Sept. 1996: The Secretary supports the use of cooperative agreements as authorized in ANILCA Section 809. The Commissions' request for scientific information is supported by ANILCA Section 808. June 2002: SRC directed NPS to incorporate local students into research projects. Also, SRC requested the NPS to consult with the SRC before new research projects begin. NPS continues to support the SRC's efforts to identify NPS research needs. April 2004: Alex Whiting agreed to serve as the SRC's research liaison, monitoring all research projects that have the potential to affect subsistence uses on parklands. October 2004: NPS continues efforts for cooperative management activities under agreements whenever opportunities exist. Alex Whiting was named as CAKR contact for science and research, Elmer Ward for KOVA contact. February 2005: Ongoing efforts to improve cooperative work. Currently drafting a General Agreement for ongoing collaboration between NPS and Kotzebue Tribal Council. _____ Cape Krusenstern National Monument Subsistence Resource Commission (SRC) didn't develop and submit any formal subsistence hunting program recommendations to the Secretary of the Interior during FY2008. However the Commission made the following recommendations on Federal subsistence issues: Cape Krusenstern National Monument Subsistence Resource Commission met in Kotzebue, Alaska on April 3, 2008, at the US Fish and Wildlife Service Office. SRC members present: Pete (Tarred) Schaeffer, Chair, Kotzebue Martha Whiting, Vice Chair, Kotzebue Victor Karmun, Kotzebue Wilfred W. Lane Sr. Kotzebue Alex Whiting, Kotzebue Attamuk Shiedt, Sr., Kotzebue. SRC members absent: Joe Arey, Noatak and Joe Swan, Sr. Kivalina. Vacant Seat NPS staff present: Superintendent George V. Helfrich, Willie Goodwin, Ken Adkisson, Brad Shults, Jim Lawler, Linda Jeschke, Dave Mills and Clarence Summers. Others present: Dave Olson – USFWS, Kristin Simac – NBS/UAF, Eric Regehr – USFWS, George Durnes USGS, and Susanne Miller - USGS. Chairman Schaeffer called the meeting to order. After roll call and quorum was established. The SRC members contributed to the discussion reporting on the past and present concerns. SRC member reports addressed the following topics: 1. Low numbers of caribou observed near Noatak this year. 2. High gas prices have impacted

subsistence users in region.3. Federal and State regulations need to accommodate subsistence users needs.4. NPS should continue to monitor law enforcement contacts. There have been problems in past years.5. Observed trout in Agashashok River near Noatak National Preserve. Federal researchers tested trout for contaminants.6. Observed sport hunters trespassing on Native allotments near the Agashashok River.7. School teachers are competing with local subsistence hunters.8. NPS should continue cultural sensitivity training for new staff.9. SRC members expressed frustration with NPS lack of action to resolve subsistence user conflicts in Unit 23.10. SRC is under utilized by NPS. 11. NPS needs to continue efforts to improve the SRC program to ensure that the SRC is an effective organization. 12. The NPS should establish partnerships with Native groups and local organizations.13. 14. NPS should continue to support and expand the local hire programs.15. NPS should allow the Cape Krusenstern NM SRC and the Kobuk Valley SRC to conduct a joint planning workshop during the summer to prepare for their Fall 2008 SRC meetings.16. North Slope Borough proposed a offshore drilling ban.17. NPS should continue public outreach / school programs 18. NPS staff should continue to visit local communities to maintain and improve relations with local residents.19. The NPS solicitor should visit local communities within the region to better understand the concerns of local subsistence users within the regions.20. NPS should meet with commercial operators to advise them on concerns related to subsistence activities on NPS lands. 21. NPS should continue to advise park visitors and commercial operators on the need to respect private property/ Native allotments with the region.22. User conflict is a problem. NPS should limit or restrict the number of commercial operators to protect subsistence users on NPS lands within Unit 23.23. NPS should involve the SRC/local people in the development of it's Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act (ANILCA) Section 810 evaluations. 24. ANILCA provides a subsistence priority for local residents on Federal public lands.25. Commercial operator activities impact caribou migration routes.26. Global Warming is impacting subsistence resources and local residents. NPS should monitor / study key species to determine Global Warming impacts on subsistence users and resources.27. NPS should involve local students in park resource management studies.28. SRC members object to claims that subsistence users waste meat or other resources.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

The Commission did not submit any new formal recommendations to the Secretary of the Interior during FY 2018.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

100%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

The Commission did not submit any new formal recommendations to the Secretary of the Interior during FY 2018,

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable ☐

Agency Feedback Comments

Public Meetings

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

Checked if Applies

Reorganized Priorities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Reallocated resources	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Issued new regulation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Proposed legislation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Approved grants or other payments	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Action Comments

Not Applicable

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

No

Grant Review Comments

Not Applicable

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online Agency Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Online Committee Web Site



Online GSA FACA Web Site



Publications



Other



Access Comments

Available online at <http://www.nps.gov/cakr>